

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/648,806	08/28/2000	Oh-sang Kwon	Q60491	7294		
25315 7	590 07/16/2003					
	VE & GRAHAM	EXAMI	EXAMINER			
816 SECOND SEATTLE, WA			BARNIE, RE	BARNIE, REXFORD N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2643			
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/648,806

Applicant(s)

KWON

Office Action Summary

Examiner

REXFORD BARNIE

Art Unit 2643



	The M	AILING DATE	of this communica	tion appears	on the cover s	heet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply								
THE N - Extensi	MAILING I	DATE OF THIS	S COMMUNICAT	ION.	_		MONTH(S) FROM be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
 If the p If NO p Failure Any rep 	period for reph period for reph to reply withinply received b	ly specified above is l ly is specified above, in the set or extende	the maximum statutory d period for reply will, by an three months after the	period will apply a statute, cause t	and will expire SIX (6 the application to bec	6) MONTHS frome ABANDO	00) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). y filed, may reduce any		
Status									
1) 💢	Respons	ive to commu	nication(s) filed o	n <i>Aug 28, 2</i>	2000			·	
2a) 🗌	This acti	ion is FINAL.	2b)	X This act	tion is non-fina	al.			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	tion of Cla	aims							
4) 💢	Claim(s)	1-5					is/are pending in the application	າກ.	
4	a) Of the	above, claim	(s)				is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)						is/are allowed.		
							is/are rejected.		
							is/are objected to.		
							t to restriction and/or election requi	irement.	
	tion Pape					•	•		
9) 🗆	The spec	cification is ob	jected to by the	Examiner.					
10)□	The drav	wing(s) filed o	n	is/are	a) 🗆 accept	ed or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicar	nt may not req	uest that any obje	 ction to the o	drawing(s) be h	eld in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)□					-		approved b) disapproved by the	Examiner.	
	If appro	ved, corrected	drawings are requ	ired in reply	to this Office a	ction.			
12)	The oath	ı or declaratio	n is objected to b	y the Exam	iner.				
Priority	under 35	5 U.S.C. §§ 11	9 and 120				·		
13)□	Acknow	ledgement is r	nade of a claim f	or foreign p	riority under 3	35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)] All b)[☐ Some* c	c)□ None of:	4			•		
•	1. Cer	rtified copies of	of the priority do	cuments hav	/e been receiv	ed.			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
		applicatio	n from the Intern	ational Bure	eau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).			
			d Office action fo						
14)∐	_		made of a claim f		•		# 7157		
a) ∟ 15) □			e foreign languag					me	
Attachme		reagement is i	Hade of a claim i	or domestic	priority under	30 0.3.0	C. §§ 120 and/or REXFORD BAR	INIE	
_		ences Cited (PTO-89)	2)		4) Interview S	òummary (PTC	PRIMARY EXAM 0-413) Paper No(s)	INER	
2) Not	tice of Drafts	person's Patent Drav	ving Review (PTO-948)		_		nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) X Info	ormation Disc	losure Statement(s)	(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1- 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP (0 519 498 A2, cited by applicant) in view of Lockwood (US Pat# 5,532,700) or (US Pat# 5,251,186) or Clark (US Pat# 6,142,942).

Regarding claim 1, EP '498 A2 teaches an echo canceler communication system comprising of means in (see figs) which can be used in reducing echo including filters such as adaptive FIR and IIR filters but fails to use the word specifically "beamformer".

٠

Application/Control Number: 09/648,806 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

· ?

Lockwood teaches echo cancellation system wherein an adaptive beamformer can be used in conjunction with other filtering means to reduce echo in (see disclosure).

Clark teaches a noise reduction system wherein a beamformer can be used in conjunction with an adaptive filter for noise reduction in (see figs. And disclosure).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of either Lockwood or Clark into that of EP' 498 thus making it possible to reduce echo or any noise.

Regarding claim 2, see the explanation as set forth in claim 1 in addition to the (figs. Of EP including fig. 3).

Regarding claim 3, .see the explanation as set forth regarding claims 1-2.

Regarding claim 5, The combination including Lockwood teaches a weight factor and so forth.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to REXFORD BARNIE whose telephone number is (703) 306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6:OOp:m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can

Art Unit: 2643

be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to (703) 872-9314 and labeled accordingly (Please label "PROPOSED/INFORMAL" or "FORMAL").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Rexford Barnie Patent Examiner 07/08/2003

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER